

REMARKS

After the present amendment there is a single claim pending, Claim 1.

Claim 1 is amended to incorporate features from prior dependent claims 4-7, 9-10 and 21, and antecedent basis for the amendment is found in those claims. No new matter is added.

Filing Date

The Filing Receipt Sheet lists an incorrect foreign priority date of May 18, 2000. The previously submitted, certified copy of the priority document shows the filing date of the Australian priority application is May 18, 2001. The inventors' oath lists the 2001 filing date of the priority application. The PTO records were in error through an erroneous entry on the original filing receipt. A corrected filing receipt is attached.

Section 101 Rejection

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 for non-statutory subject matter. The claim refers to "a portion of the knowledge base stored upon said computer readable medium" and the Examiner said that this "feature produces intangible results, since all the portions of the knowledge base not stored on the computer readable medium are intangible." Office Action at 2. The claimed portion of the knowledge data base is stored upon the computer and that is tangible. Claim 1 is amended to reiterate this.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the Section 101 rejection is respectfully requested.

Section 112 Rejection

A number of claim terms were rejected for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention under §112 ¶6. But such a determination requires a determination of whether those skilled in the art would understand what is claimed when the claim is read in light of the specification. @ *Orthokinetics, Inc. v. Safety Travel Chairs, Inc.*, 806 F.2d 1565, 1 USPQ2d 1081, 1088 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Parsing: The language in Claim 1 defining "parsing unstructured and partially structured name and address data" was viewed as indefinite under §112 ¶2 because there were no structures or encoded instructions within the claim for this functionality to be realized. No structures or encoded instructions are defined in the claim because it does not matter what level or structure the name and address data is supplied with. The parsing

function is achieved by the inference engine defined in lines 3-4 of Claim 1, and as described in part in paragraph 10, 46, and 78 et. seq, among others. It is believed that a person skilled in the art, having read the specification, would understand the cited claim language.

Linguistic Theory. The language in Claim 1 referring to “systematic functional linguistic theory” was considered vague and indefinite since it cannot be determined precisely what theory is encompassed. Office Action at 3. While the claimed term is believed definite in light of the specification, it is deleted.

Knowledge Base Analysis: The reference to “a portion of the knowledge base stored on computer readable media” was viewed as unclear as to which portion is stored on the media and which is not stored on the media. Amended Claim 1 is believed to resolve this concern.

In view of the above comments and amendments, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Section 112 rejections is respectfully requested.

Section 102 Rejection

Claim 1 was rejected as anticipated by Fujisawa (6,182,062).

Anticipation requires every claim element be found in Fujisawa. Fujisawa is believed to lack at least the orthographic and contextual analysis, which is no longer optionally defined.

Furhter, no patentable weight was given to parsing or consulting an inference engine since they were viewed as “intended usages of the system rather than physical features of the system (MPEP 2106, Section C).” Office Action at 4. That is not correct. First, no Section C could be found. But more importantly, the referenced portion of Claim 1 defines a system with “each parsing step performed by consulting an inference engine that utilizes an inference strategy.” That defines how parts of the system cooperate or interact and must be given patentable weight.

In view of the above amendments, the only remaining claim in the application is believed to be in a condition for allowance and such allowance is respectfully requested.

If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account Number 19-4330.

Application No.: 09/883,123
Attorney Docket: WDUMR-022US

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 8/8/07 By: Lowell Anderson
Lowell Anderson
Registration No. 30,990
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER
75 Enterprise, Suite 250
Aliso Viejo, California 92656
Telephone: (949) 855-1246
Fax: (949) 855-6371

T:\Client Documents\WDUMR\022us\Non-final OA of 2.22.07\amendment.doc



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

AUG 10 2001	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING or 371(c) DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY. DOCKET NO	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
	09/883,123	06/15/2001	2164	679	WDUMR-022US	36	3

CONFIRMATION NO. 8259

Stetina Brunda Garred & Brucker-Lowell Anderson
75 Enterprise, Suite 250
Aliso Viejo, CA92656

CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT

Date Mailed: 07/24/2007

Receipt is acknowledged of this non-provisional patent application. The application will be taken up for examination in due course. Applicant will be notified as to the results of the examination. Any correspondence concerning the application must include the following identification information: the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Filing Receipt Corrections. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections

Applicant(s)

Licheng Zeng, Baulkham Hills, AUSTRALIA;

Power of Attorney: None

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

Foreign Applications

AUSTRALIA PR5118 05/18/2001

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted: 08/10/2001

The country code and number of your priority application, to be used for filing abroad under the Paris Convention, is
US09/883,123

Projected Publication Date: Not Applicable

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

**** SMALL ENTITY ****

Title

Parsing system

Preliminary Class

707

PROTECTING YOUR INVENTION OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

Since the rights granted by a U.S. patent extend only throughout the territory of the United States and have no effect in a foreign country, an inventor who wishes patent protection in another country must apply for a patent in a specific country or in regional patent offices. Applicants may wish to consider the filing of an international application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). An international (PCT) application generally has the same effect as a regular national patent application in each PCT-member country. The PCT process **simplifies** the filing of patent applications on the same invention in member countries, but **does not result** in a grant of "an international patent" and does not eliminate the need of applicants to file additional documents and fees in countries where patent protection is desired.

Almost every country has its own patent law, and a person desiring a patent in a particular country must make an application for patent in that country in accordance with its particular laws. Since the laws of many countries differ in various respects from the patent law of the United States, applicants are advised to seek guidance from specific foreign countries to ensure that patent rights are not lost prematurely.

Applicants also are advised that in the case of inventions made in the United States, the Director of the USPTO must issue a license before applicants can apply for a patent in a foreign country. The filing of a U.S. patent application serves as a request for a foreign filing license. The application's filing receipt contains further information and guidance as to the status of applicant's license for foreign filing.

Applicants may wish to consult the USPTO booklet, "General Information Concerning Patents" (specifically, the section entitled "Treaties and Foreign Patents") for more information on timeframes and deadlines for filing foreign patent applications. The guide is available either by contacting the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199, or it can be viewed on the USPTO website at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/doc/general/index.html>.

For information on preventing theft of your intellectual property (patents, trademarks and copyrights), you may wish to consult the U.S. Government website, <http://www.stopfakes.gov>. Part of a Department of Commerce initiative, this website includes self-help "toolkits" giving innovators guidance on how to protect intellectual property in specific countries such as China, Korea and Mexico. For questions regarding patent enforcement issues, applicants may call the U.S. Government hotline at 1-866-999-HALT (1-866-999-4158).

LICENSE FOR FOREIGN FILING UNDER

Title 35, United States Code, Section 184

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 5.11 & 5.15

GRANTED

The applicant has been granted a license under 35 U.S.C. 184, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" followed by a date appears on this form. Such licenses are issued in all applications where the conditions for issuance of a license have been met, regardless of whether or not a license may be required as set forth in 37 CFR 5.15. The scope and limitations of this license are set forth in 37 CFR 5.15(a) unless an earlier license has been issued under 37 CFR 5.15(b). The license is subject to revocation upon written notification. The date indicated is the effective date of the license, unless an earlier license of similar scope has been granted under 37 CFR 5.13 or 5.14.

This license is to be retained by the licensee and may be used at any time on or after the effective date thereof unless it is revoked. This license is automatically transferred to any related applications(s) filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d). This license is not retroactive.

The grant of a license does not in any way lessen the responsibility of a licensee for the security of the subject matter as imposed by any Government contract or the provisions of existing laws relating to espionage and the national security or the export of technical data. Licensees should apprise themselves of current regulations especially with respect to certain countries, of other agencies, particularly the Office of

Defense Trade Controls, Department of State (with respect to Arms, Munitions and Implements of War (22 CFR 121-128)); the Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce (15 CFR parts 730-774); the Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of Treasury (31 CFR Parts 500+) and the Department of Energy.

NOT GRANTED

No license under 35 U.S.C. 184 has been granted at this time, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" DOES NOT appear on this form. Applicant may still petition for a license under 37 CFR 5.12, if a license is desired before the expiration of 6 months from the filing date of the application. If 6 months has lapsed from the filing date of this application and the licensee has not received any indication of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181, the licensee may foreign file the application pursuant to 37 CFR 5.15(b).